

Abstract Commensurators of Profinite Groups

Yiftach Barnea

Department of Mathematics
Royal Holloway

April 3rd 2013

Joint work with Mikhail Ershov and Thomas Weigel

The Fundamental Question

The Fundamental Question

Van Dantzig: Every totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) group contains an open profinite subgroup.

The Fundamental Question

Van Dantzig: Every totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) group contains an open profinite subgroup.

Fundamental Question: Let L be a t.d.l.c. group and $G \leq_o L$ open profinite group in L . What is the connection between the algebraic properties of G and the algebraic properties of L ?

The Fundamental Question

Van Dantzig: Every totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) group contains an open profinite subgroup.

Fundamental Question: Let L be a t.d.l.c. group and $G \leq_o L$ open profinite group in L . What is the connection between the algebraic properties of G and the algebraic properties of L ?

More Specific Questions: Given a profinite group G can it be embedded in a simple t.d.l.c. group L as an open subgroup? If yes, is such L unique?

Commutators and Virtual Automorphisms

Commutators and Virtual Automorphisms

Let G be a discrete (topological) group and let H be a (closed) subgroup in G .

Commutators and Virtual Automorphisms

Let G be a discrete (topological) group and let H be a (closed) subgroup in G . We define the **relative commensurator** of H in G to be

$$\text{Comm}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid [H : H \cap H^g] < \infty \text{ and } [H^g : H \cap H^g] < \infty\}$$

Commutators and Virtual Automorphisms

Let G be a discrete (topological) group and let H be a (closed) subgroup in G . We define the **relative commensurator** of H in G to be

$$\text{Comm}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid [H : H \cap H^g] < \infty \text{ and } [H^g : H \cap H^g] < \infty\}$$

$$(\text{Comm}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid H \cap H^g \leq_o H \text{ and } H \cap H^g \leq_o H^g\}).$$

Commutators and Virtual Automorphisms

Let G be a discrete (topological) group and let H be a (closed) subgroup in G . We define the **relative commensurator** of H in G to be

$$\text{Comm}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid [H : H \cap H^g] < \infty \text{ and } [H^g : H \cap H^g] < \infty\}$$

$$(\text{Comm}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid H \cap H^g \leq_o H \text{ and } H \cap H^g \leq_o H^g\}).$$

A **virtual automorphism** of G is an (continuous) isomorphism between two subgroups of finite index (open subgroups).

Commensurators and Virtual Automorphisms

Let G be a discrete (topological) group and let H be a (closed) subgroup in G . We define the **relative commensurator** of H in G to be

$$\text{Comm}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid [H : H \cap H^g] < \infty \text{ and } [H^g : H \cap H^g] < \infty\}$$

$$(\text{Comm}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid H \cap H^g \leq_o H \text{ and } H \cap H^g \leq_o H^g\}).$$

A **virtual automorphism** of G is an (continuous) isomorphism between two subgroups of finite index (open subgroups).

We say that two virtual automorphisms φ and ψ are **equivalent** if they agree on a subgroup of finite index (an open subgroup). We then write $[\varphi]$ for the equivalence class of φ .

We define the **abstract commensurator** of G to be

$$\text{Comm}(G) = \{[\varphi] \mid \varphi \text{ is a virtual automorphism of } G\}.$$

We define the **abstract commensurator** of G to be

$$\text{Comm}(G) = \{[\varphi] \mid \varphi \text{ is a virtual automorphism of } G\}.$$

Notice that the following is commuting:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \leq & N_G(H) & \leq & \text{Comm}_G(H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \rightarrow & \text{Aut}(H) & \rightarrow & \text{Comm}(H). \end{array}$$

We define the **abstract commensurator** of G to be

$$\text{Comm}(G) = \{[\varphi] \mid \varphi \text{ is a virtual automorphism of } G\}.$$

Notice that the following is commuting:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \leq & N_G(H) & \leq & \text{Comm}_G(H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \rightarrow & \text{Aut}(H) & \rightarrow & \text{Comm}(H). \end{array}$$

Also: If $U \leq_f G$ ($U \leq_o G$), then $\text{Comm}(U) \cong \text{Comm}(G)$.

Topology

Topology

Let G be a profinite group.

Topology

Let G be a profinite group.

Aut-topology: For simplicity assume G is finitely generated. Then $\text{Aut}(G)$ is also a profinite group.

Topology

Let G be a profinite group.

Aut-topology: For simplicity assume G is finitely generated. Then $\text{Aut}(G)$ is also a profinite group.

For $U \leq_o G$ let $\kappa_U : \text{Aut}(U) \rightarrow \text{Comm}(U) \cong \text{Comm}(G)$. Then Aut-topology is the strongest topology in which κ_U is continuous for all $U \leq_o G$. We write $\text{Comm}(G)_A$ for the group with the Aut-topology.

Topology

Let G be a profinite group.

Aut-topology: For simplicity assume G is finitely generated. Then $\text{Aut}(G)$ is also a profinite group.

For $U \leq_o G$ let $\kappa_U : \text{Aut}(U) \rightarrow \text{Comm}(U) \cong \text{Comm}(G)$. Then Aut-topology is the strongest topology in which κ_U is continuous for all $U \leq_o G$. We write $\text{Comm}(G)_A$ for the group with the Aut-topology.

In this case $\text{Comm}(G)_A$ is a topological group. However, whether it is Hausdorff or locally compact are more refined questions which are related to the algebraic structure of G .

Strong topology: Let $i : G \rightarrow \text{Comm}(G)$. Then $i(G) \cong G / \ker i$ is a topological group.

Strong topology: Let $i : G \rightarrow \text{Comm}(G)$. Then $i(G) \cong G / \ker i$ is a topological group. It is possible to extend the the topology to $\text{Comm}(G)$ by letting $i(U)$ for $U \leq_0 G$ be a base for the topology at the identity and making $\text{Comm}(G)$ a topological group. We write $\text{Comm}(G)_S$ for the group with the strong topology.

Strong topology: Let $i : G \rightarrow \text{Comm}(G)$. Then $i(G) \cong G / \ker i$ is a topological group. It is possible to extend the the topology to $\text{Comm}(G)$ by letting $i(U)$ for $U \leq_o G$ be a base for the topology at the identity and making $\text{Comm}(G)$ a topological group. We write $\text{Comm}(G)_S$ for the group with the strong topology.

Let the **virtual centre** of G be

$$\ker i = \text{VZ}(G) = \{g \in G \mid [g] = [1]\} = \{g \in G \mid \text{Cent}_G(g) \leq_o G\}.$$

Strong topology: Let $i : G \rightarrow \text{Comm}(G)$. Then $i(G) \cong G / \ker i$ is a topological group. It is possible to extend the the topology to $\text{Comm}(G)$ by letting $i(U)$ for $U \leq_0 G$ be a base for the topology at the identity and making $\text{Comm}(G)$ a topological group. We write $\text{Comm}(G)_S$ for the group with the strong topology.

Let the **virtual centre** of G be

$$\ker i = \text{VZ}(G) = \{g \in G \mid [g] = [1]\} = \{g \in G \mid \text{Cent}_G(g) \leq_0 G\}.$$

If $\text{VZ}(G) \leq_c G$, then $\text{Comm}(G)_S$ is a locally compact group. However, it is not always σ -compact.

Examples:

Examples:

① For $G = \prod_{n \geq 1} S_n$ we have that $VZ(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$.

Examples:

- 1 For $G = \prod_{n \geq 1} S_n$ we have that $VZ(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$.
- 2 $\text{Comm}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, where the Aut-topology is the usual topology and the strong-topology is the discrete topology.

Examples:

- 1 For $G = \prod_{n \geq 1} S_n$ we have that $VZ(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$.
- 2 $\text{Comm}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, where the Aut-topology is the usual topology and the strong-topology is the discrete topology.
- 3 **Serre:** If G is p -adic analytic pro- $p \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{L}(G)).$

Examples:

- 1 For $G = \prod_{n \geq 1} S_n$ we have that $VZ(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$.
- 2 $\text{Comm}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, where the Aut-topology is the usual topology and the strong-topology is the discrete topology.
- 3 **Serre:** If G is p -adic analytic pro- $p \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{L}(G))$.
- 4 **Pink:** Let \mathbb{F} be a local field, let \mathbb{G} be an absolute simple, simply connected, algebraic group over \mathbb{F} , and let G be an open compact subgroup of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G})(\mathbb{F}) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}))$;

Examples:

- 1 For $G = \prod_{n \geq 1} S_n$ we have that $VZ(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$.
- 2 $\text{Comm}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, where the Aut-topology is the usual topology and the strong-topology is the discrete topology.
- 3 **Serre:** If G is p -adic analytic pro- $p \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{L}(G))$.
- 4 **Pink:** Let \mathbb{F} be a local field, let \mathbb{G} be an absolute simple, simply connected, algebraic group over \mathbb{F} , and let G be an open compact subgroup of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G})(\mathbb{F}) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}))$;
- 5 **Ershov:** Let \mathbb{F} be a local field. Then
 $\text{Comm}(J_p) \cong \text{Comm}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F})) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F})$.

Examples:

- 1 For $G = \prod_{n \geq 1} S_n$ we have that $VZ(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$.
- 2 $\text{Comm}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, where the Aut-topology is the usual topology and the strong-topology is the discrete topology.
- 3 **Serre:** If G is p -adic analytic pro- $p \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{L}(G))$.
- 4 **Pink:** Let \mathbb{F} be a local field, let \mathbb{G} be an absolute simple, simply connected, algebraic group over \mathbb{F} , and let G be an open compact subgroup of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G})(\mathbb{F}) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}))$;
- 5 **Ershov:** Let \mathbb{F} be a local field. Then
 $\text{Comm}(J_p) \cong \text{Comm}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F})) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F})$.
- 6 **Neukrich and Uchida:** $G = G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Comm}(G) \cong G$.

The Universal Property and Applications

The Universal Property and Applications

Lemma: If $VZ(G) = 1$, L is a topologically simple t.d.l.c. group, $G \leq_o L$, then L is embedded into $\text{Comm}(G)_S$ as an open subgroup.

The Universal Property and Applications

Lemma: If $VZ(G) = 1$, L is a topologically simple t.d.l.c. group, $G \leq_o L$, then L is embedded into $\text{Comm}(G)_S$ as an open subgroup.

Corollary: $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F})$ cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

The Universal Property and Applications

Lemma: If $VZ(G) = 1$, L is a topologically simple t.d.l.c. group, $G \leq_o L$, then L is embedded into $\text{Comm}(G)_S$ as an open subgroup.

Corollary: $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F})$ cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Corollary: Let G be a p -adic analytic pro- p group with $VZ(G) = 1$, then G can be embedded as an open subgroup in at most one topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Sticky Subgroup

Sticky Subgroup

Definition: Let G be a profinite group with $VZ(G) = 1$. A closed subgroup N in G is called **sticky** if $[N : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ and $[N^x : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ for all $x \in \text{Comm}(G)$.

Sticky Subgroup

Definition: Let G be a profinite group with $VZ(G) = 1$. A closed subgroup N in G is called **sticky** if $[N : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ and $[N^x : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ for all $x \in \text{Comm}(G)$.

Theorem: Let G be a profinite group. If G contains a non-trivial normal sticky subgroup N such that $\text{Cent}_G(N) \neq 1$, then G cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Sticky Subgroup

Definition: Let G be a profinite group with $VZ(G) = 1$. A closed subgroup N in G is called **sticky** if $[N : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ and $[N^x : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ for all $x \in \text{Comm}(G)$.

Theorem: Let G be a profinite group. If G contains a non-trivial normal sticky subgroup N such that $\text{Cent}_G(N) \neq 1$, then G cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Corollary: Let G be a profinite group with $VZ(G) = 1$. If R_G , the fitting subgroup of G , is non-trivial and nilpotent, then G cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Sticky Subgroup

Definition: Let G be a profinite group with $VZ(G) = 1$. A closed subgroup N in G is called **sticky** if $[N : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ and $[N^x : N \cap N^x] < \infty$ for all $x \in \text{Comm}(G)$.

Theorem: Let G be a profinite group. If G contains a non-trivial normal sticky subgroup N such that $\text{Cent}_G(N) \neq 1$, then G cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Corollary: Let G be a profinite group with $VZ(G) = 1$. If R_G , the fitting subgroup of G , is non-trivial and nilpotent, then G cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Example: $SL_d(\mathbb{F}_p[[X, Y]])$ cannot be embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c.

Construction

Construction

Lemma: Let Γ be a residually finite discrete group and let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be the profinite completion of Γ . Then there is a natural embedding of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ into $\text{Comm}(\widehat{\Gamma})$.

Construction

Lemma: Let Γ be a residually finite discrete group and let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be the profinite completion of Γ . Then there is a natural embedding of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ into $\text{Comm}(\widehat{\Gamma})$.

Proposition: Let Γ be a residually finite discrete group. Suppose $\Gamma \leq \Delta$, where Δ is a finitely generated simple group and $\text{Comm}_{\Delta}(\Gamma) = \Delta$. Assume $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is just infinite and $\text{VZ}(\widehat{\Gamma}) = 1$. Then $\widehat{\Gamma} \leq_o \widehat{\text{Comm}}(\Gamma) = \langle \widehat{\Gamma}, \text{Comm}(\Gamma) \rangle$ which is a compactly generated topologically simple group.

Corollary: Let Γ be the Grigorchuk group. Then $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Construction

Lemma: Let Γ be a residually finite discrete group and let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be the profinite completion of Γ . Then there is a natural embedding of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ into $\text{Comm}(\widehat{\Gamma})$.

Proposition: Let Γ be a residually finite discrete group. Suppose $\Gamma \leq \Delta$, where Δ is a finitely generated simple group and $\text{Comm}_{\Delta}(\Gamma) = \Delta$. Assume $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is just infinite and $\text{VZ}(\widehat{\Gamma}) = 1$. Then $\widehat{\Gamma} \leq_o \widehat{\text{Comm}}(\Gamma) = \langle \widehat{\Gamma}, \text{Comm}(\Gamma) \rangle$ which is a compactly generated topologically simple group.

Corollary: Let Γ be the Grigorchuk group. Then $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is embedded as an open subgroup of a compactly generated topologically simple t.d.l.c. group.

Pf. **Class Röver:** Such Δ as above exists.

Fundamental Question
Commensurators and Virtual Automorphisms
Topology
Examples:
The Universal Property and Applications
Sticky Subgroup
Construction